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Abstract:
Ancient poets often dwelt on the question of ideal life and the best epoch of mankind. Some of them condemned civilization as a whole, judging the precultural age to have been morally superior; others regarded civilization, at least in its early phases, as progress. The former normally conceived of the precultural stage as a paradisiacal life of miraculous abundance, the later usually placed the ideal epoch in the period of early agriculture. Sheep and cattle occur regularly as significant motifs in this kind of poetry. A positive view of cattle is coupled with a positive view of agriculture. The sheep can be made to conform to every ideal, but unlike cattle, it is never used as a negative symbol.

During Augustus’ reign, several poets who had been looking back to a general paradisiac existence in the earliest days of mankind turned instead to praising a specific Roman ideal epoch in the not quite so distant past. Logically enough, when describing this ideal landscape, they emphasize the presence of cows more than that of sheep, thus indirectly stressing that one of the sources of Rome’s greatness was the healthy and harmonious farmers of old.

Sheep and goats, as we know, were of primary importance to ancient society. Tradition had it that the sheep were the first animals to be domesticated, “both because of their usefulness and because of their mild nature,” as Varro says.1 In fact, if we are to believe certain classical poets, their importance well antedated society. In an elegy written perhaps around 30 BC, Tibullus looks back with longing to a life in the early days of mankind when there was no sea-faring and no commerce, no private ownership, no agriculture – but sheep there were:

No roving sailor seeking profit from strange lands
had freighted ship with foreign merchandise.
No mighty bull in those days bore the yoke
or stallion tamely chawed the bit.

... where every year the land, unploughed, yields corn, and ever blooms the vine unpruned, and buds the shoot of the never-failing olive; the dark fig graces its native tree; honey flows from the hollow oak; from the lofty hill, with plashing foot, lightly leaps the fountain. There the goats come unbidden to the milking-pail, and the willing flock brings swelling udders home.4

As I have argued at length elsewhere,1 there can be no doubt that the topic carries ideological connotations, the dream of a paradise outside or before civilization expressing the author’s critique and pessimistic view of society. The topic in itself is traditional, with several motifs known from Homer, Hesiod, Pindar and other classical Utopias, and also with links to ‘the Land of Milk and Honey’ of the Old Testament.5 Still, the sheep and the goats here take on a specific significance as the one class of domestic animals which, for reasons I have mentioned above, could be made to conform to a concept presupposing that degeneration started with the very beginning of civilization itself. Contrast the explicit
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rejection of agricultural work in Tibullus line (40) ‘No mighty bull in those days bore the yoke’. On the other hand, the sheep’s and the goats’ own humble needs, as well as the manifold products they can yield, made them no less convenient to fit into idealizations of the peasant’s modesty and poverty, as contrasted with the luxury and frenzy of modern urban life, as we shall see below.

The repeated appearance of the motif of the paradisiac life in one or two generations of Roman poets in the last years of the Republic and the first decades of the Empire is to be seen against the background of the social, political and economic chaos following in the wake of the civil wars. People lost their homes, their fortunes, their relatives. They learnt not to trust anybody. Life and civilization had lost all sense. It was logical enough to take a pessimistic view of history and society, and it took a long time for optimism and security to return.

Vergil had been audacious enough to imagine his miraculous paradise coming back again in the near future. This is the famous Fourth Eclogue, arguably the world’s most discussed poem, as enigmatic to its first audience as it is to us. Desperately longing for lasting peace during what was to turn out to be only an occasional break in the wars, but sceptical in his heart to society’s fitness for survival, Vergil prophesies, among other things, that soon, “every land shall bear all fruits, the soil shall not feel the harrow, nor the vine the pruning-hook, and the sturdy ploughman, too, shall loose his oxen from the yoke”. Even sooner, “the goats, uncalled, shall bring home their udders swollen with milk, and the herds shall not fear huge lions”.

In the course of the first decade of Augustus’ sole reign, things began to stabilize. Peace returned to Italy and with it, step by step, order and a normalized daily life. Civilization and society once again began to gain a positive significance. Accordingly, the Augustan poets, one after another, modify their critique of society. Scorn and repudiation of the fundamental characteristics of civilization become much more scarce. Instead, the basic inventions of civilization, such as agriculture, house-building, law-giving, take on a positive value and are even praised as the very essence of life, the blessings that saved man from his deplorable state in the pre-cultur-ral era. The idea of a degeneration that began at some time in the past is not given up, only the point where the degeneration is said to have started has been postponed. Greed, envy, strife, war, luxury, and the like, which according to the former view were effects of civilization as such, now tend to be judged as excesses of a more advanced stage, and especially of modern urban life. These are certainly threats to the harmony and the happiness of mankind, but they are not inseparably attached to civilization as such, and, most important, there are possible ways of escaping them, here and now. If you search for harmony, you will find it principally in the unpretentious life of small people, preferably in the countryside, for with them, civilization in its earlier, innocent, unspoil- form is still alive. In fact, as Vergil now tells us, in the first book of the Georgics, laziness, miraculous abun-
dance, the dolce far niente, was not good for mankind, and therefore, Jupiter stopped it and caused man to invent civilization and to work hard in order to survive.9

The second book of the Georgics shows us the ideal existence as Vergil conceives it by now: the petty farmer’s life in the Italian countryside. Toil and hard work there certainly is, but the poet strongly emphasizes other aspects, too, above all the peacefulness, security and undisturbedness of this kind of life, in contrast with the useless luxury and the crowds of the city:

But calm security and a life that will not cheat you, rich in its own rewards, are here: the broad ease of the farmlands, caves, living lakes, and combes that are cool even at mid-summer, lowing of cattle, and slumber mild in the trees’ shade.10

Vergil could, instead, have chosen the bleating of the sheep to sound in the background of this rural idyll, but note that he does not. For the lowing of the cattle, magitus boum, is not just a background sound; it carries a significance of its own. The cattle, which in the former ideal – quite logically – had no function and were of no use, have now entered the scene as essential and appreci- ated actors. They are the partners of the farmer, joined with him in loyal companionship. “The farmer furrows the soil with his curving plough. This is his annual labour; thus he keeps his country, his little grandsons, his herds of cattle and faithful bullocks.”11

The farmer’s unceasing striving – set against the unsteadiness and worry of urban life and politics castigated again in a more extensive passage immediately before these lines (495–512) – upholds the existence (sustinet) not just of his family and his own farm. In fact, he is working for society. And his constant work guarantees him full rewards – unlike the vain efforts of people ceaselessly striving for money, luxury and power. After the lines just quoted, Vergil goes on to describe, in a striking passage, the full-scale harmony and happy abundance resulting from the farmer’s toil. The poet here himself, as it were, comments upon his own words about a nescia fallerita vita, a life that will never cheat. And we here find the animals to be like family members, themselves and even their young sharing the joy and hilarity felt by the farmer and his friends whenever the calendar and the work have room for a rustic holiday (G. 2.516–531):

Unresting the year teems with orchard fruit, or young of cattle, or sheaves of corn, brimming the furrows with plenty, overflowing the barns. Winter comes, and olives are crushed in the press, and pigs return elate (laeti) with acorns, and woods give arbutus berries: Autumn drops her varied fruits at our feet, while far above on sunny rocks the vintage basks and mellows. And all the time he has dear children who dote on kisses, a house that preserves the tradition of chastity, cows that hang their milky udders, and plump young goats on the happy green (in gramine laeto) romping and butting with their horns.

The farmer himself keeps holidays when, at ease in a meadow, a fire in the midst and friends there to crown the flowing bowl, he drinks the health of the Wine-god and arranges for his herdsmen a darts-match, setting up the target upon an elm tree, and the labourers bare their sinewy bodies for country wrestling.12
All this harmony is raised to historical importance in the succeeding lines, where we learn that such was the life lived in old Italic times, and, in fact, that such was the way of life that brought Rome to its greatness. The farmer’s life even mirrors the conditions of a still more distant past, the days when king Saturn reigned on earth. Before pointing out that in those early times, there were neither wars nor swords, the poet, as if to stress again the fruitful and sympathetic relation between the farmer and his cattle, states that this was “before men slaughtered cattle to feast upon” (2.536f.). There are other ancient texts arguing for the priority and superiority of vegetarianism, and, of course, the concept of miraculously abundant nature in itself presupposes vegetarianism, but against the background of the passages I have quoted above, I think it is reasonable to believe that this is not just a mere praise of supposed early vegetarianism (and neither is it so with the Greek passage which has inspired Vergil here, Aratus Phaenomena 100ff.). The poet looks back to a time when man in general respected ‘his faithful bullocks’ as partners of work and family members.

Tibullus, too, turned from the ideal of miraculous abundance and freedom from work to a dream of rural simplicity. Common to both concepts is the longing for an existence without greed, war, and similar threats to the harmony of the soul. Common to both, too, is the topic of the sheep, but unobtrusively, the role of the sheep changes. Once upon a time, before the invention of swords, and of gold, when there were no fortresses and no palisades, the shepherd slept safely and without fear among his scattered sheep, the elegy 1.10 tells us. This poem, the last of the first book, is characterized by a complicated pattern of references to earlier times, by means of which the distance between the peaceful era of the safely sleeping shepherd and the days of the speaker’s own ancestors is blurred, the emblem of both epochs being the use of simple wooden materials where later times prefer precious metals (say, for a jug, or for the images of the gods). When the poet goes on to express his own personal dream – which he here contrasts with a soldier’s life – it is nothing like a lazy existence in a paradise of abundance, as was the case in elegy 1.3. No, now it is a small farm – too small to include a slave – it is a wife, and children, and the joy of a hot bath after the day’s hard work:

But let us rather praise the man whom old age’s torpor overtakes in his humble cottage with children round him!

He follows his sheep, and his son the lambs,
while the good wife heats the water for his weary limbs.
So let me live...”

With this handsome scene, the sheep have definitely stepped over to connotations which are nearly the opposite of those in elegy 1.3.

Not unexpectedly, given the changed perspective, cattle, too, play an important, positive role in the poem, although the small farm envisaged does not seem to have room for a cow. The major topic of the elegy is peace. Peace turns out to be a goddess, and this goddess does not just provide peace, she gives crops and fruit; in fact, she has the credit of having invented and introduced agriculture to mankind:

Meanwhile let Peace attend the fields. White Peace in the beginning led ploughing oxen under the curved yoke.

Peace fed the vines and stored the juices of the grapes.  

The volte-face seems clear enough. But to complicate matters, Tibullus playfully juxtaposes this poem with an elegy showing quite another standpoint. The subject of elegy 1.9 is the beloved boy’s greed, and here, we find cattle and ploughing on the other side again. Greed – the search for gifts, for wealth and profit – is not just this boy’s quality; greed is a much more fundamental phenomenon, for instance, greed is what causes the farmer to cultivate the soil.  

Mugitus boum, the lowing of the cattle – this signature tune of the Italian countryside once echoed on the very spot where Rome was later to stand. It greeted Aeneas during his visit there. In the eighth book of the Aeneid, Vergil sends his hero to Euander, the Arcadian refugee who has settled with his small community on the Palatine hill. Although Euander himself, guiding his guest on the site of the Metropolis-to-be, characterizes his own time as a degenerate one in contrast with a still much earlier epoch when king Saturn had arrived and had gathered the unorganized primitive native population and taught them the basics of civilization (Aen. 8.319–339), Vergil quite clearly vests Euander’s small village with idealized features. The atmosphere is one of rustic simplicity; in addition, the place is curiously imbued with a foreboding, awe-inspiring spirit – “my people believe they have seen Jupiter himself,” Euander tells Aeneas (Aen. 8.351f.) – which is further enhanced by Vergil’s method of commenting on the contrast between then and now:

Conversing thus together, they approached the unpretentious dwelling of Evander: cattle were everywhere, lowing in what is now the Forum of Rome and the elegant Ship-Place.

The elegiac poets, Propertius, Tibullus, and Ovid, obviously liked the contrast between proud and brilliant modern Rome and the rural simplicity of Euander’s small settlement. In their later career, all of them made at least some attempt to cope with specifically Roman themes. For the present purpose, it is not important to speculate about how serious their interest was in this kind of matter. What is significant here is the fact that they tried to give their version of the early days of Rome, or the life on the site of Rome before it was founded. Ovid imagines, among other things, the senators of old, themselves going out to graze their sheep (Fast. 1.204). Tibullus’ vision includes, e. g., a shepherd’s pipe hanging in a tree as a dedication to a rustic god (2.5.29–32), or a young girl returning home with gifts she has received from her lover, “cheese and a snowy ewe’s white lamb” (2.5.38). But a more significant characteristic of their view of early Rome is the cattle. They are the sight that catches the elegist’s eye when
in his imagination he looks around the thinly settled hills and valleys that were to become Rome. Thus, Propertius guides an imagined visitor in Rome:

"All you see here, stranger, where mighty Rome now stands, was grass and hill before the coming of Phrygian Aeneas; and where stands the Palatine consecrated to Apollo of the Ships (i.e., of the victory at Actium), the cattle of exiled Evander there lay down."19

And here is Tibullus’ version:

Not yet had Romulus drawn up the Eternal City’s walls, where Remus as co-ruler was fated not to live; but cows were grazing then a grassy Palatine, and hovels raised low roofs on the hill of Jove (i.e., on the Capitolium).20

Later on in the same elegy, a Sibyl prophesies to Aeneas his arrival and his fate in Latium. In the course of her speech, she even turns to address the herds grazing on the spot of the later Metropolis:

"Crop while you may, you bulls, the grass on the seven hills; for here shall be the site of a mighty city."21

Finally, Ovid’s version, at the beginning of the Fasti. The poet is about to celebrate the origin of the New Year’s festival dedicated to Janus, when the god himself appears to the poet and graciously declares himself ready to answer to any question about his own character and function and the traditions connected with his cult that may arise. And there are several, some of which cause the god to remember very early times, when he lived and reigned at the site of Rome and king Saturn came to join him:

"Here, where now is Rome, green forest stood untold, and all this mighty region was but a pasture for a few cattle."22

The happy scene of Aeneid 8, depicting Aeneas on a sightseeing walk on the site of Rome in the midst of lowing cattle, no doubt inspired the elegiac contrast between Rome the pasture for cows and Rome the modern centre of power and glory.23 At the same time, the presence of cattle on the site of early Rome in the ‘Roman’ poems of the elegists conjures up the claim, made by Vergil in the Georgics, that Rome’s greatness arose out of the life and work of early Italian farmers.
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1 Varro Rust. 2.1.4: et propter utilitatem et propter placiditatem.
2 Tibullus 1.3.39–42; 45–46: nec vagus ignotis repertens compendia terris / presserat externa navita merce ratem. / Ilo non validus subiit iuga tempore taurus, / non domito frenos ore momordit equus. / Non domus uila fores habuit, non fixus in agris / qui regeret certis finibus arva lapsis; / Ipsae mella dabant quercus, ultroque ferabant / ovibia secures uiribus lactis oves. 
3 Verg. G. 3.316ff.: atque ipsae memores redeunt in tecta su- osque / ducent et gravido superant vix ubere limen.
4 Horatius Epod. 16.43–50: ... reddit ubi Cererem tellus inarata quotannis, / et inputata floros usque vinea, / geminat et nunquam fallentis termes olivae, / suamque pulla ficus ornat arborum, / melia caua manant ex illice, montibus alitis / levis crepante lympa desilit pede. / illic innuasae veniunt ad mulctra capellae, / refertque tenta grex amicus uerba.
5 Wistrand Schiebe 1981.
6 Compare, e.g., Homer’s Elysium, Od. 4.563–569; Hesiod Works and Days 112–120 (Golden Race) and 171–173 (Isles of the Blest); Pindar Ol. 2.75–85 (The Isle of the Blest); Eurip. Hippol. 742. Cf., also, Exodus 3.8 and 33.1–3.
7 Verg. Ecl. 4.39–41: ... omnis feret omnia tellus / non rastros patietur humus, non vinea falcem; robustus quoque iam tauris iuga solvet arator. For concise information about the most vexed problems in Eclogue 4, with bibliography, I refer to Horsfall 1995, 60–62.
10 Verg. G. 2.516–531: Nec requies, quin aut pomis exuberet annus / aut fetu pecorum aut Cerealis mergite culmi, / prover- tuque oneret sulcos atque horrea vincat. / venit hiems; teritur Sicyonia baca trapetis, / (520) gande suas laeti redeunt, dant arbuta silvae; / et varios ponit totus autumnus, et alpe / mitis in apricis coquit vindemia saxis. / Interea dulces pendent circum oscula nati, / casta pudicitiam servat domus, ubera vac- cae / (525) lactea demittunt, pinguesque in gramine laeto / inter se adversis luctantur cornibus haedi. / Ipse dies agitat festos / hinc anni labor, hinc patriam parvosque nepotes / sustinet, hinc armenta boum meritosque iuvencos.
11 Verg. Ecl. 4.21–2: Ipsae lacte domum referent distenta capel- lae / ubera, nec magnos metuent armenta leones. The relation between the Fourth Eclogue and Horace’s Sixteenth Epode remains controversial. Personally, I am convinced that Vergil is prior to Horace, cf. Wistrand Schiebe 1981, 138 (n. 2.18). The problem is not essential for my present discussion.
12 Verg. G. 1.121–146.
13 Verg. G. 2.467–471: At secura quies et nescia fallere vita, / dives opum variarum, at latis otia fundis, / speluncae vivique lacus et frigida tempe/ migutisque boum mollesque sub arboe somni.
15 Verg. G. 2.516–531: Nec requies, quin aut pomis exuberet annus / aut fetu pecorum aut Cerealis mergite culmi, / prover- tuque oneret sulcos atque horrea vincat. / venit hiems; teritur Sicyonia baca trapetis, / (520) gande suas laeti redeunt, dant arbuta silvae; / et varios ponit totus autumnus, et alpe / mitis in apricis coquit vindemia saxis. / Interea dulces pendent circum oscula nati, / casta pudicitiam servat domus, ubera vac- cae / (525) lactea demittunt, pinguesque in gramine laeto / inter se adversis luctantur cornibus haedi. / Ipse dies agitat festos / hinc anni labor, hinc patriam parvosque nepotes / sustinet, hinc armenta boum meritosque iuvencos.
16 Verg. G. 2.516–531: Nec requies, quin aut pomis exuberet annus / aut fetu pecorum aut Cerealis mergite culmi, / prover- tuque oneret sulcos atque horrea vincat. / venit hiems; teritur Sicyonia baca trapetis, / (520) gande suas laeti redeunt, dant arbuta silvae; / et varios ponit totus autumnus, et alpe / mitis in apricis coquit vindemia saxis. / Interea dulces pendent circum oscula nati, / casta pudicitiam servat domus, ubera vac- cae / (525) lactea demittunt, pinguesque in gramine laeto / inter se adversis luctantur cornibus haedi. / Ipse dies agitat festos / hinc anni labor, hinc patriam parvosque nepotes / sustinet, hinc armenta boum meritosque iuvencos.
17 The word used in the text is simply vallus (Tib. 1.10.9), ‘pole’, and thus skillfully leaves the interpretation open for a palissade (for a military camp etc.) or a fence (e.g. for a fold), or both. Cf. Wistrand Schiebe 1981, 62.
18 Tibullus 1.10.39–42: Quam potius laudandus his est quem prole parata / occupat in parva pigra senecta casa? / Ipsae suas sectatur oves, at filius agnis, / et calidam Iovem. / Sic ego sim... 
19 Tib. 1.10.45–47: Interea Pax arva colat. Pax candida primum / duxit araturos sub iuga curva boves. / Pax aluit vites et sucos condidit uvac. 
21 Tib. 1.9.8f.: For profit, peasants yoke their bulls to the wieldy plough / and press their hard work forward on the land. (Lucra petens habili tauros adungit aratro / et durum terrae rusticus urget opus.) Cf. Wistrand Schiebe 1981,78f.
22 Verg. Aen. 8.355ff.: “Arcades ipsum / credunt se vidisse lovem.”
23 Verg. Aen. 8.359–361: Talibus inter se dictis ad tecta
subibant / pauperis Euandri, passimque armenta videbant / Romanoque foro et lautis mugire Carinis.
21 Tibullus 2.5.23–26: Romulus aeternae nondum formavert / moenia, consorti non habitanda Remo, / sed tunc pascebant herbosa palatia vaccae, / et stabant humiles in Iovis arce casae.
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